

# Ultrafast and real-time physical random bit extraction with all-optical quantization

Ya Guo,<sup>a,b,c,†</sup> Qiang Cai<sup>ⓧ,a,†</sup> Pu Li,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Ruonan Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Bingjie Xu,<sup>d</sup> K. Alan Shore,<sup>e</sup> and Yuncai Wang<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Taiyuan University of Technology, Key Laboratory of Advanced Transducers and Intelligent Control Systems, Ministry of Education, Taiyuan, China

<sup>b</sup>Guangdong University of Technology, School of Information Engineering, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Photonics Information Technology, Guangzhou, China

<sup>c</sup>Northwestern Polytechnical University, School of Electronics and Information, Xi'an, China

<sup>d</sup>Institute of Southwestern Communication, Science and Technology on Communication Laboratory, Chengdu, China

<sup>e</sup>Bangor University, School of Electronic Engineering, Wales, United Kingdom

**Abstract.** Optical chaos generated by perturbing semiconductor lasers has been viewed, over recent decades, as an excellent entropy source for fast physical random bit generation (RBG) owing to its high bandwidth and large random fluctuations. However, most optical-chaos-based random bit generators perform their quantization process in the electrical domain using electrical analog-to-digital converters, so their real-time rates in a single channel are severely limited at the level of Gb/s due to the electronic bottleneck. Here, we propose and experimentally demonstrate an all-optical method for RBG where chaotic pulses are quantized into a physical random bit stream in the all-optical domain by means of a length of highly nonlinear fiber. In our proof-of-concept experiment, a 10-Gb/s random bit stream is successfully generated on-line using our method. Note that the single-channel real-time rate is limited only by the chaos bandwidth. Considering that the Kerr nonlinearity of silica fiber with an ultrafast response of few femtoseconds is exploited for composing the key part of quantizing laser chaos, this scheme thus may operate potentially at much higher real-time rates than 100 Gb/s provided that a chaotic entropy source of sufficient bandwidth is available.

Keywords: chaos; random number generation; semiconductor lasers; optical signal processing.

Received Dec. 30, 2021; revised manuscript received Feb. 20, 2022; accepted for publication Mar. 28, 2022; published online May 2, 2022.

© The Authors. Published by SPIE and CLP under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI.

[DOI: [10.1117/1.AP.4.3.035001](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.AP.4.3.035001)]

## 1 Introduction

Physical random bits play crucial roles in cryptographic systems and information security.<sup>1–4</sup> Especially in the context of “one-time-pad” unconditional security, the real-time generation rate of physical random bits critically determines the secure communication rate.

Laser chaos has, over recent decades, attracted extensive attention to solve this problem of fast and real-time random bit generation (RBG) due to its high bandwidth and large random fluctuations in the past decades.<sup>5–18</sup> Typically, 1.7-Gb/s real-time RBG was first reported by Uchida et al. through binary digitization of the temporal fluctuations of two independent chaotic

lasers using electrical 1-bit analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) in 2008.<sup>5</sup> In 2009, 12.5-Gb/s off-line RBG was demonstrated by Reidler et al. through a multiple-bit extraction approach, where the intensity fluctuations of a single chaotic laser were sampled by a virtual 8-bit ADC with least significant bits retention.<sup>6</sup> Shortly afterward, Kanter et al.<sup>7</sup> further improved the RBG rate using off-line high-order derivatives of the digitized chaotic signals. In parallel, numerous excellent RBG schemes have been proposed by increasing the chaotic bandwidth or optimizing the postprocessing methods.<sup>8–19</sup> Among them, Ugajin et al. typically reported a 21.1-Gb/s random bit throughput by retaining eight significant bits of the 12-bit ADC as the multiple parallel outputs through a sophisticated field programmable gate array, but it should be noticed that the real-time rates in each output channel are still 3.6 Gb/s determined by the ADC.<sup>19</sup> In sum, all the aforementioned RBG executes the quantization process by means of electrical ADCs, so the currently reported real-time

\*Address all correspondence to Pu Li, [lipu8603@126.com](mailto:lipu8603@126.com)

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

rates in a single channel are severely limited to the level of Gb/s due to the electronic bottleneck.<sup>17</sup>

For this reason, we propose a method of RBG with all-optical quantization, where the optically sampled chaotic pulses can be digitized into a stream of random bits in real time by means of a length of highly nonlinear fiber (HNLF) with an optical filter. Specifically, the chaos is first all sampled into a train of optical pulses, whose peak power is proportional to the original chaos signal. Then, the obtained chaotic pulses are amplified and injected into the HNLF to generate a supercontinuum (SC) spectrum, whose spectral width depends on the peak power of the input chaotic pulse. Consequently, these optically sampled chaotic pulses can simply be digitized into binary bit sequences by an optical bandpass filter (BPF) with an appropriate central wavelength.

In our proof-of-concept experiment, the adopted optical chaos is generated by heterodyning two external-cavity laser diodes (ECLs), so-called white chaos.<sup>20,21</sup> Final results show that a 10-Gb/s random bit stream in a single channel can be continuously generated using our method. Note that the current real-time rate is mainly limited by the bandwidth of the chaotic source. Considering the Kerr nonlinearity of silica fiber with an ultrafast response of few femtoseconds, our proposed RBG method should have the potential to operate at the rate on the order of 100 Gb/s (even Tb/s) provided that a chaotic entropy source of sufficient bandwidth is available.

## 2 Experimental Setup and Results


### 2.1 Experimental Setup

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup, which includes three main parts: a broadband chaotic entropy source, an optical sampler consisting of an electro-optic modulator (EOM), and an optical quantizer containing a length of HNLF with an optical BPF. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the optical heterodyne technique is applied to produce the broadband chaos. Two external-cavity lasers (ECL<sub>1,2</sub>) with adjacent central wavelengths are coupled into a 3-dB fiber coupler (FC) to interfere with each other. Their coupling outputs are injected into a balanced photodetector (BPD) to obtain white chaos. The chaotic signal is then optically sampled through the EOM triggered by a train of ultralow-jitter clock pulses from a mode-locked laser (MLL).


Further, the sampled chaotic pulses are injected into the HNLF via an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA). In the HNLF, the optically sampled chaotic pulses with different amplitudes will experience different spectral broadening. The spectral broadening width is proportional to the amplitude of the sampled chaotic pulse. Based on this intensity-to-wavelength mapping, we finally can digitize these SC chaotic pulses into a stream of random bit sequences using the BPF with an appropriate central wavelength. This random bit quantization process is executed in the all-optical domain and thus eliminates the electrical bottleneck.

### 2.2 Experimental Results

Figure 2 characterizes the measured optical white chaos. In the experiment, the center wavelengths of ECL<sub>1</sub> and ECL<sub>2</sub> operate at 1553.178 and 1553.076 nm, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a). The feedback strengths are tuned to  $-9.8$  dB for ECL<sub>1</sub> and  $-10.6$  dB for ECL<sub>2</sub>, while the associated feedback delays are  $\tau_1 = 94.1$  ns and  $\tau_2 = 111.9$  ns, respectively. Figure 2(a) shows the RF spectra of the final white chaos (blue line) and the original chaos from the ECL<sub>1</sub> and ECL<sub>2</sub> (red and violet lines), respectively. It is obvious that the RF spectra of white chaos are much wider and flatter than that of the ECLs due to the application of optical heterodyne. Figure 2(b) shows the autocorrelation function (ACF) of the white chaos, while its inset is the associated ACFs of the ECL<sub>1</sub> and ECL<sub>2</sub> outputs. From the inset of Fig. 2(b), one can observe that the ECL<sub>1</sub> and ECL<sub>2</sub> have obvious correlation peaks at their own feedback delays  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , called as the time-delay signatures (TDSs). Such TDSs will introduce some unwanted correlations in final random bits and thus must be eliminated. That is just the reason why we use the optical heterodyne process to generate the white chaos. Because of the nonresonant beatings between the two ECLs with disproportional feedback lengths, their external mode frequency intervals will also be disproportional. In consequence, the obtained white chaos has a noise-like RF spectrum and no TDS can be observed as shown in Fig. 2(b). Meanwhile, a symmetrical amplitude probability distribution can be ensured from Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), which is the base to generate unbiased random bits with no need of additional complex postprocessing. This symmetry is induced by the conversion of the fast phase chaos dynamics into intensity variations.




**Fig. 1** Schematic of the proposed RBG with all-optical quantization: (a) optical chaos, (b) optical sampler, and (c) optical quantizer. DFB, distributed feedback semiconductor laser; PC, polarization controller; VA, variable optical attenuator; FM, fiber mirror; ISO, optical isolator; 3 dB, 3 dB FC; BPD, balanced photodiode; MLL, mode-locked laser; EOM, electro-optic modulator; EDFA, erbium-doped fiber amplifier; HNLF, highly nonlinear fiber; BPF, optical BPF.




**Fig. 2** (a) RF spectra of the white chaos and ECL<sub>1,2</sub> (the inset is the optical spectra of ECL<sub>1,2</sub>); (b) ACF of the white chaos (the inset on right upper corner shows ACFs of the ECL<sub>1,2</sub>); (c) temporal waveforms of the white chaos; (d) amplitude probability distribution of the white chaos.

Figure 3 shows the optical sampling results recorded by a real-time digital oscilloscope (OSC, Lecroy LabMaster10-36Zi, 36 GHz, 80 GS/s) via a 50-GHz photodiode (PD, Finisar XPDV2150R). In the experiment, the EOM with a 20-GHz input bandwidth (Photline, MX-LN-20) is biased at a voltage  $V_{Bias} = 5.5$  V, while the optical clock pulse train generated from the MLL (Pritel, UOC-05-14G-E) has a timing jitter less than 50 fs which works at a repetition rate of 10 GHz and a central wavelength of 1555.2 nm. Comparing the waveform before and after the optical sampler, it can be found that the

continuous-time white chaos [Fig. 3(a)] matches very well with the peaks of the sample chaotic pulses [Fig. 3(b)]. Quantitatively, we calculate the normalized cross-correlation function (CCF) of the signal before and after the optical sampler to quantitatively evaluate the performance of the sampling system. Note, the signal here consists of a sequence of discrete sampled points and its size is  $10^6$  points. It can be clearly observed from Fig. 3(c) that the cross-correlation coefficient between the signals measured by the oscilloscope and the proposed method is as high as 0.997. Further calculation shows



**Fig. 3** Schematic optical sampling results. (a) Continuous-time white chaotic waveform to be sampled; (b) discrete-time chaotic pulses after the optical sampler; (c) normalized CCF of the signal before and after the optical sampler.



**Fig. 4** (a) Measured optical spectra from three SC pulses with different powers (45, 50, and 55 mW); (b) measured pulse waveform before (red) and after (blue) the threshold/quantization operation.

that our optical sampling system has a high SNR of about 41.6 dB. All these results confirm that such an optical sampler has a high fidelity.


Figure 4 shows the optical quantizing results. As shown in Fig. 1(d), the optically sampled chaotic pulses are first amplified by the EDFA (KEOPSYS, PEFA-SP-C-SM-33-B2020-FA) and then used to pump a 400-m HNLF to generate the so-called SC pulse train. The typical parameters of the utilized HNLF are the nonlinear coefficient of  $10 \text{ W}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1}$ , the chromatic dispersion slope of  $0.017 \text{ ps nm}^{-2} \text{ km}^{-1}$ , and the zero-dispersion wavelength of 1550 nm. Figure 4(a) shows typical optical spectra from three SC pulses with different powers (45, 50, and 55 mW) measured by an optical spectrum analyzer with a resolution of 0.02 nm (Yokogawa, AQ6370C). From it, one can see clearly that the optical spectrum width is broadened with increasing pulse power. In our experiment, we control the average

optical power of the sampled SC chaotic pulse stream to be about 50 mW at the end of the HNLF, whose waveform is measured by the 36-GHz oscilloscope as shown in the pink line [Fig. 4(b)]. Then, the BPF (Yenista, XTM-50) on the anti-Stokes side lobe is used to threshold the generated SC chaotic pulses for real-time RBG. When the pulse power is higher than 50 mW, the associated optical spectrum will surpass the wavelength of 1553 nm [Fig. 4(a)]. Therefore, the filtering center of the BPF is experimentally set at  $\lambda_0 = 1553 \text{ nm}$  with a 0.4-nm bandwidth. In this case, when the SC pulse is larger than the threshold, there is an output pulse in the end of the BPF. Otherwise, there is no output. The blue line in Fig. 4(b) shows the quantized output pulse waveform, which is further coded into the random bit stream in the stripe at the bottom of Fig. 4(b). When there is a pulse output, we code it as logical “1.” Otherwise, we code it as logical “0.” These results demonstrate that a 10-Gb/s random bit stream has been successfully and continuously generated.


As is well known, a physical random bit sequence should be unbiased and independent. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the statistical bias level and the autocorrelation (AC) coefficients of the generated 10 Gb/s binary random bit stream, estimated utilizing the normalized Gaussian distribution estimation  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ . It can be confirmed from Fig. 5 that both the bias and the serial AC coefficients are below their three-standard-deviations written as  $3\sigma_e = (3N^{-1/2})/2$  and  $3\sigma_c = 3N^{-1/2}$ . Further, we use state-of-the-art National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST SP800-22) test suite with 15 statistical test items to examine the obtained random bits.<sup>22</sup> Each test item is performed using 1000 samples of the 1-Mbit sequence, and the statistical significance level is set as  $\alpha = 0.01$ . The test criterion for success is that each  $P$ -value should be larger than 0.0001, and the proportion should be within the range of  $0.99 \pm 0.0094392$ . Figure 6 shows the test results. For tests that return multiple  $P$ -values and proportions, the worst case is given. All the results suggest that our generated random bits can be regarded to be unbiased and independent statistically.

### 3 Discussions


In this section, we discuss the robustness of our RBG. Specifically, the bias of the center wavelength of the BPF on the quality of the generated random bits is analyzed. Figure 7 shows the occurrence frequency of “1” in a random bit sequence and the number of passed NIST tests as a function of the



**Fig. 5** (a) Bias  $|e[N]|$  versus the sample size of the generated 10 Gb/s random bits stream. The black dotted line in (a) is its three-standard-deviation line,  $3\sigma_e = (3N^{-1/2})/2$  where  $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16$  Mbits. (b) AC coefficient  $C[K]$  as a function of the delay bit  $K$  for 16 Mbits.



**Fig. 6** NIST test results:  $P$ -value (left column) and proportion (right column). Note, the 15 test items are shown along the horizontal axis.



**Fig. 7** Frequency of “1” in a random bit sequence (red squares) and the number of passed NIST tests (blue circles) as a function of the filtering center wavelength  $\lambda_0$ .

quantization threshold. It is obvious that the frequency of “1” decreases almost linearly with the increasing filtered center wavelength. Only the random sequences having a frequency of “1” in the range from 49.88% to 50.11% can pass all the NIST tests, where the center wavelengths are allowed in a range from 1552.2 to 1553.8 nm.

In addition, we want to point out that in the view of the portability, our current proof-of-principle experiment setup is relatively bulky due to the use of HNLFF with weak nonlinear interaction ( $\gamma = 10 \text{ W}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1}$ ). However, this issue may be solved by introducing the photonic integrated technology. To our knowledge, chip-based SCG has been investigated in several materials, such as silicon photonic nanowires,<sup>23</sup> chalcogenide waveguides,<sup>24</sup> and silicon nitride waveguides.<sup>25</sup> When these on-chip waveguides with high nonlinear coefficients are employed, the size of the SCG system can be greatly improved to the level of centimeters and the associated power consumption has the potential to be reduced by nearly 50%.

## 4 Conclusions and Outlook

In summary, we have proposed an all-optical approach for quantizing chaotic optical pulses into random bits. This scheme executes all the random bit extraction processes in the all-optical domain and therefore overcomes the bottleneck of electronic signal processing experienced by previous RBGs. As a proof-of-principle demonstration, a 10-Gb/s chaotic optical bit stream in a single channel is successfully generated using our method. The current real-time rate of 10 Gb/s is mainly limited by the bandwidth of the optical chaos used. Considering the ultrafast response of the HNLFF used as the core unit for random bit extraction, our all-optical approach is expected to achieve a much faster real-time bit rate up to the order of 100 Gb/s if the bandwidth of the chaotic entropy source is sufficiently broad and the pulse generation speed of the used MLL is high enough. For instance, we notice that very recently a 315-GHz bandwidth of a chaotic light source has been reported using a particularly designed broad-area semiconductor laser.<sup>18</sup> Combining with pulse compressing and the optical time-delay multiplexing technique, the MLL pulse generation speed has the potential to be enhanced to above 300 GHz.<sup>26</sup>

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (62175177, U19A2076, 61731014, 61961136002, 61927811, and 61805168) and Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province (201901D211116, 201901D211077). The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. C. E. Shannon, “Communication theory of secrecy systems,” *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* **28**(4), 656–715 (1949).
2. R. G. Gallager, *Principles of Digital Communication*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).
3. S. Asmussen and P. W. Glynn, *Stochastic Simulation: Algorithms and Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2007).
4. D. R. Stinson, *Cryptography: Theory and Practice*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida (1995).
5. A. Uchida et al., “Fast physical random bit generation with chaotic semiconductor lasers,” *Nat. Photonics* **2**(12), 728–732 (2008).
6. I. Reidler et al., “Ultrahigh-speed random number generation based on a chaotic semiconductor laser,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**(2), 024102 (2009).
7. I. Kanter et al., “An optical ultrafast random bit generator,” *Nat. Photonics* **4**(1), 58–61 (2010).
8. K. Hirano et al., “Fast random bit generation with bandwidth-enhanced chaos in semiconductor lasers,” *Opt. Express* **18**(6), 5512–5524 (2010).
9. Y. Akizawa et al., “Fast random number generation with bandwidth-enhanced chaotic semiconductor lasers at  $8 \times 50 \text{ Gb/s}$ ,” *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **24**(12), 1042–1044 (2012).
10. R. Sakuraba et al., “Tb/s physical random bit generation with bandwidth-enhanced chaos in three-cascaded semiconductor lasers,” *Opt. Express* **23**(2), 1470–1490 (2015).
11. L. M. Zhang et al., “640-Gbit/s fast physical random number generation using a broadband chaotic semiconductor laser,” *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 45900 (2017).
12. A. B. Wang et al., “Minimal-post-processing 320-Gbps true random bit generation using physical white chaos,” *Opt. Express* **25**(4), 3153–3164 (2017).
13. N. Q. Li et al., “Two approaches for ultrafast random bit generation based on the chaotic dynamics of a semiconductor laser,” *Opt. Express* **22**(6), 6634–6646 (2014).

14. T. Butler et al., "Optical ultrafast random number generation at one line using a turbulent semiconductor ring cavity laser," *Opt. Lett.* **41**(2), 388–391 (2016).
15. X. Tang et al., "Tbits/s physical random bit generation based on mutually coupled semiconductor laser chaotic entropy source," *Opt. Express* **23**(26), 33130–33141 (2015).
16. A. Argyris et al., "Implementation of 140 Gb/s true random bit generator based on a chaotic photonic integrated circuit," *Opt. Express* **18**(18), 18763–18768 (2010).
17. P. Li et al., "Real-time online photonic random number generation," *Opt. Lett.* **42**(14), 2699–2702 (2017).
18. K. Kim et al., "Massively parallel ultrafast random bit generation with a chip-scale laser," *Science* **371**(6532), 948–952 (2021).
19. K. Ugajin et al., "Real-time fast physical random number generator with a photonic integrated circuit," *Opt. Express* **25**(6), 6511–6523 (2017).
20. A. B. Wang et al., "Optical heterodyne generation of high-dimensional and broadband white chaos," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **21**(6), 531–540 (2015).
21. K. Yoshiya et al., "Entropy evaluation of white chaos generated by optical heterodyne for certifying physical random number generators," *Opt. Express* **28**(3), 3686–3698 (2020).
22. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), "Random bit generation," Information Technology Laboratory, Computer Security Resource Center, <http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/toolkit/rng/index.html>
23. I. W. Hsieh et al., "Supercontinuum generation in silicon photonic wires," *Opt. Express* **15**(23), 15242–15249 (2007).
24. X. Kang et al., "Integratable all-optical spectral quantization scheme based on chalco-genide-silicon slot waveguide," *Opt. Commun.* **355**, 479–484 (2015).
25. R. Halir et al., "Ultrabroadband supercontinuum generation in a CMOS-compatible platform," *Opt. Lett.* **37**(10), 1685–1687 (2012).
26. S. Nakamura, Y. Ueno, and K. Tajima, "Error-free all-optical demultiplexing at 336 GB/s with a hybrid-integrated symmetric-Mach-Zehnder switch," in *Opt. Fiber Commun. Conf.*, Anaheim (2002).

**Ya Guo** received his MS degree in optical engineering from Taiyuan University of Technology (TYUT), Shanxi, China, in 2018. He is currently working toward his PhD at the School of Electronics and Information, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China. His research interests focus on all-optical analog-to-digital conversion.

**Qiang Cai** received his MS degree in optical engineering from TYUT, Shanxi, China, in 2019. He is currently working toward his PhD at the Key Laboratory of Advanced Transducers and Intelligent Control System (Ministry of Education of China), TYUT, Shanxi, China. His current research interests include all-optical signal processing.

**Pu Li** received his MS degree in physical electronics from TYUT, Shanxi, China, in 2011, and his PhD in circuits and systems from the Key Laboratory of Advanced Transducers and Intelligent Control System (Ministry of Education of China), TYUT, in 2014. In 2014, he joined TYUT as a lecturer. He was a visiting scholar with the School of Electronic Engineering, Bangor University, United Kingdom, in 2017. Since 2018, he has been a professor in the Key Laboratory of Advanced Transducers and Intelligent Control System (Ministry of Education of China), TYUT. His research interests include nonlinear dynamics of semiconductor lasers and its applications, and all-optical analog-to-digital conversion. He serves as a reviewer for journals of the IEEE, OSA, and Elsevier organizations.

**Ruonan Zhang** received his BS and MS degrees from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 2000 and 2003, respectively, and his PhD from the University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada, in 2010, all in electrical and electronics engineering. He was an IC architecture engineer with the Motorola Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA, and Freescale Semiconductor Inc.,

Tianjin, China, from 2003 to 2006. Since 2010, he has been with the Department of Communications Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China. His current research interests include wireless and optical communications. He was a recipient of the New Century Excellent Talent Grant from the Ministry of Education of China, and the best paper award of the IEEE NaNA 2016. He was a local arrangement co-chair for the IEEE ICC 2013 and an associate editor for the *Journal of Communications and Networks*.

**Bingjie Xu** received his bachelor degree in electronic engineering from Peking University in 2007 and his PhD in radio physics from Peking University in 2012. In 2012, he joined as a senior researcher in the Institute of Southwestern Communication, Chengdu, China. His current research interests include quantum communication and quantum cryptography.

**K. Alan Shore** received his degree in mathematics from the University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, and his PhD from the University College, Cardiff, Wales, United Kingdom. He was a lecturer with the University of Liverpool from 1979 to 1983 and with the University of Bath, where he became a senior lecturer in 1986, a reader in 1990, and a professor in 1995. He was a visiting researcher with the Center for High Technology Materials, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, USA, in 1987. In 1989, he was a visiting researcher with the Huygens Laboratory, Leiden University, The Netherlands. From 1990 to 1991, he was with the Teledanmark Research Laboratory and the MIDIT Center of the Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby. He was a guest researcher with the Electrotechnical Laboratory, Tsukuba, Japan, in 1991. In 1992, he was a visiting professor with the Department of Physics, University of the Balearic Islands, Palma-Majorca, Spain. He was a visiting lecturer with the Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria, Santander, Spain, from 1996 to 1998, and a visiting researcher with the Department of Physics, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia, in 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2005, and 2008. In 2001, he was a visiting researcher with the ATR Adaptive Communications Laboratories, Kyoto, Japan. From 2001 to 2008, he was the director of Industrial and Commercial Optoelectronics, a Welsh Development Agency Center of Excellence. Since 1995, he has been the head of the School of Informatics, College of Physical and Applied Sciences, Bangor University. He has authored or coauthored more than 1000 contributions to archival journals, books, and technical conferences. With Prof. D. Kane, he coedited the research monograph *Unlocking Dynamical Diversity*. His research work has been principally in the area of semiconductor optoelectronic device design and experimental characterization with particular emphasis on nonlinearities in laser diodes and semiconductor optical waveguides. His current research interests include nonlinear optics and its applications, and the design of nano-spin semiconductor lasers. In 1995, he was appointed as the chair of Electronic Engineering with Bangor University. He was the chair of the Welsh Optoelectronics Forum from 2006 to 2008 and has chaired the Photonics Academy for Wales, since its establishment in 2005. From 2008 to 2011, he was the chair of the Quantum Electronics Commission of the International Union of Pure and Applied Physics. He has been a program member for several OSA conferences. He was a co-organizer of a Rank Prize Symposium on Nonlinear Dynamics in Lasers held at the Lake District, United Kingdom, in 2002. He cofounded and from 1987 to 2012 acted as the organizer and program committee chair for the International Conference on Semiconductor and Integrated Optoelectronics, which is held annually in Cardiff, Wales, United Kingdom. He chaired the Education and Training in Optics and Photonics conference held at the Technium OptIC, Wales, 2009. He received the Royal Society Travel Grant to visit universities and laboratories in Japan in 1988. From July to December 2010, he held a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Invitation Fellowship in the Ultrafast Photonics Group, Graduate School of Materials Science, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Nara, Japan. He is a fellow of OSA, the Institute of Physics, and the Learned Society of Wales for which he has served as a council member (2012–2015; 2016–2023) and general secretary (2017–2023).

**Yuncaï Wang** received his BS degree in semiconductor physics from Nankai University, Tianjin, China, in 1986, and his MS and PhD degrees in physics and optics from Xi'an Institute of Optics and Precision Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shaanxi, China, in 1994 and 1997, respectively. In 1986, he joined TYUT as a teaching assistant. He was a visiting scholar in the Technical University of Berlin, Berlin, Germany, from 2001 to 2002. He was a lecturer (1994–1998) and then an assistant professor (1998–2003) in the Department of Physics, TYUT. Since 2003, he has been a professor in the College of Physics and

Optoelectronics, TYUT. In 2019, he joined the Institute of Advanced Photonics Technology, Guangdong University of Technology. His current research interests include nonlinear dynamics of semiconductor lasers and its applications, including all-optical analog-to-digital conversion and optical communications. He is a fellow of the Chinese Instrument and Control Society, a senior member of the Chinese Optical Society and the Chinese Physical Society. He also serves as a reviewer for journals of the IEEE, OSA, and Elsevier organizations.